Smallest not C_{2l+1}-colourable graphs of odd-girth $2k+1$

Laurent Beaudou* Florent Foucaud* Reza Naserasr†

October 13, 2016

arXiv:1610.03685v1 [math.CO] 12 Oct 2016

Abstract

Grötzsch introduced his famous graph as a triangle-free graph which is not 3-colourable. Harary showed that any such graph must be of order at least 11 and Chvátal proved that the Grötzsch graph is the only such graph on 11 vertices. As a generalization, Ngoc and Tuza asked about the order of a smallest graph of odd-girth $2k+1$ which is not 3-colourable, a question which, despite several partial results, remains open.

Viewing 3-colouring as a homomorphism to the 3-cycle, along this line of work, we ask more generally: What is the smallest order $\eta(k,l)$ of a graph of odd-girth $2k+1$ that admits no homomorphism to the cycle C_{2l+1}?

In this work, we determine the value of $\eta(k,l)$ for $l \leq k \leq \frac{3l+i-3}{2}$ ($2k = i \bmod 3$) and for $(k,l) = (3,2)$. More precisely, we show that $\eta(k,l)=4k$ for $l \leq k \leq \frac{3l+i-3}{2}$ ($2k = i \bmod 3$) and that $\eta(3,2) = 15$. The latter is to say that a smallest graph of odd-girth 7 not admitting a homomorphism to the 5-cycle is of order 15 (there are 10 such graphs on 15 vertices).

1 Introduction

A *homomorphism* of a graph G to a graph H is a vertex-mapping that preserves adjacency, i.e., a mapping $\psi : V(G) \to V(H)$ such that if $x \sim y$ in G, then $\psi(x) \sim \psi(y)$ in H. If there exists a homomorphism of G to H, we may write $G \to H$ and we may say that G is H-colourable. In the study of homomorphisms, it is usual to work with the *core* of a graph. That is, a minimal subgraph which admits a homomorphism from the graph itself. It is not difficult to show that a core of a given graph is unique up to isomorphism. A graph is said to be a *core* if it admits no homomorphism to a proper subgraph. We refer to the book [9] on graph homomorphisms for a reference.

It is easy to check that G is K_k-colourable (where K_k is a complete graph on k vertices) if and only if G is (properly) k-colourable. The problem of K_k-colourability is among the most studied questions in graph theory.

Another family of graphs for which the H-colouring problem is of high importance is the family of odd-cycles. We refer to this as C_{2l+1}-colourability, where C_i is the cycle of length i. C_{2l+1}-colourability is directly related to circular and fractional colouring of graphs, see [9]. Many other interesting questions are formulated, or reformulated, in the language of C_{2l+1}-colourability, for example, the Jaeger-Zhang conjecture [20] (see also [3, 21]) and the Pentagon Conjecture of Nešetřil [11].

On the other hand, the question of building graphs of high girth or high odd-girth and high chromatic number is a part of classic graph theory that is still a subject of high interest. As one

*LIMOS - CNRS UMR 6158 Université Blaise Pascal, Clermont-Ferrand (France). E-mails: laurent.beaudou@univ-bpclermont.fr, florent.foucaud@gmail.com

†CNRS - IRIF, Université Paris Diderot, Paris (France). E-mail: reza@irif.fr



(i) $M_2(C_5)$ (ii) $M_3(C_7)$ (iii) $M_4(C_9)$

Figure 1: Three examples of generalized Mycielski constructions.

of the earliest results on the subject, Grötzsch built a graph on 11 vertices (see Figure 1(i)) which is triangle-free (i.e. of odd-girth ≥ 5) and not mapping to K_3 (i.e. not 3-colourable). Harary [8] showed that 11 vertices are needed to build any such graph, and Chvátal [4] showed that the Grötzsch graph is the only such graph on 11 vertices. Along this line of work, and viewing K_3 as an odd-cycle of length 3 we ask the following general question.

Problem 1. *Given positive integers k, l, what is the smallest order $\eta(k,l)$ of a graph of odd-girth $2k+1$ that admits no homomorphism to C_{2l+1}?*

Note that whenever $k < l$, we have $\eta(k,l) = 2k+1$ since C_{2k+1} itself provides the answer to Problem 1. Clearly, $\eta(1,1) = 4$ since K_4 is the smallest graph that is not 3-colourable. With this terminology, the result of Harary can be restated as $\eta(2,1) = 11$. For $l = 1$ and arbitrary k, Problem 1 asks for the smallest order of a graph of odd-girth $2k+1$ that is not 3-colourable. This question, asked in a different formulation by Ngoc and Tuza in [13], has gained a lot of attention. The best known upper bound comes from a generalization of the Grötzsch graph, known as the generalized k-level Mycielski graph of C_{2k+1} (denoted $M_k(C_{2k+1})$) which was defined independently in [12] and [17]. This is a 4-chromatic graph of odd-girth $2k+1$ which is constructed as follows: $V(M_k(C_{2k+1})) = V_1 \cup \ldots \cup V_k \cup \{v\}$, where $V_i = \{u_0^i, \ldots, u_{2k}^i\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. The first level, V_1, induces a $(2k+1)$-cycle $u_0^1, \ldots, u_{2k}^1$. For each level V_i with $2 \leq i \leq k$, vertex u_j^i is adjacent to the two vertices $u_{(j-1) \bmod 2k+1}^{i-1}$ and $u_{(j+1) \bmod 2k+1}^{i-1}$ in the level V_{i-1}. Finally, vertex v is adjacent to all vertices in V_k. Thus, $M_2(C_5)$ is simply the classic Mycielski construction for C_5, that is, the Grötzsch graph. See Figure 1 for the first three examples.

Several authors (starting with Payan [15]) showed that $M_k(C_{2k+1})$ is 4-chromatic for any $k \geq 1$, implying that $\eta(k,1) \leq 2k^2+k+1$. We refer to [7, 13, 18, 19] for several other proofs. Among these authors, Ngoc and Tuza [13] asked whether this upper bound of $2k^2+k+1$ is essentially optimal. The best known lower bound to this date is due to Jiang [10], who proved (by a modification of a proof by Nilli [14]) that $\eta(k,1) \geq (k-1)^2+2$, and thus $\eta(k,1) = \Theta(k^2)$. Recently, Esperet and Stehlík [5] proved that $\eta(k,1) = 2k^2+k+1$ for graphs where any two odd-cycles have a common vertex.[1] The authors of this article, in joint work with Gordon Royle, also study this problem in a forthcoming paper [2].

In this paper, we study Problem 1 when $l \geq 2$. As we discuss later (see Section 2), it follows from a theorem of Gerards [6] that $\eta(k,l) \geq 4k$ whenever $l \leq k$, and $\eta(k,k) = 4k$. We prove (in Section 3) that, surprisingly, $\eta(k,l) = 4k$ whenever $l \leq k \leq \frac{3l+i-3}{2}$ (with $2k = i \bmod 3$). We then

[1] In fact, Ngoc and Tuza [13] equivalently asked for the value of the smallest constant c such that the odd-girth of any 4-chromatic graph of order n is at most $(c+o(1))\sqrt{n}$. In this language, the tentative value $\eta(k,1) = 2k^2+k+1$ translates to a value of $\sqrt{2n-7/4}+1/2$ for the odd-girth of a 4-chromatic graph of order n; this is also the formulation used in [5]. The result of Jiang [10] is formulated as a strict upper bound of $2\sqrt{n}+3$ for the odd-girth of a 4-chromatic graph of order n.

prove (in Section 4) that $\eta(3,2) = 15$. We conclude with further research questions in the last section.

The value of $\eta(3,2)$ indeed was the initial motivation of this work. In [1], we use the fact that $\eta(3,2) = 15$ to prove that if a graph B of odd-girth 7 has the property that any series-parallel graph of odd-girth 7 admits a homomorphism to it, then it has at least 15 vertices.

Table 1 summarizes the known bounds for Problem 1 and small values of k and l. We do not know the growth rate in each row of the table. Perhaps it is quadratic; that would be to say that for a fixed l, $\eta(k,l) = \Theta(k^2)$. This is indeed true for $l = 1$, as proved by Nilli [14].

	$k=1$	$k=2$	$k=3$	$k=4$	$k=5$	$k=6$	$k=7$	$k=8$
$l=1$	4	11 [8]	**15**–22 [Th. 9]–[15]	**17**–37 [Co. 8]–[15]	**20**–56 [Co. 4]–[15]	27–79 [10]–[15]	38–106 [10]–[15]	51–137 [10]–[15]
$l=2$	3	**8** [Co. 4]	**15** [Th. 9]	**17**–37 [Co. 8]–[15]	**20**–56 [Co. 4]–[15]	**24**–79 [Co. 4]–[15]	**28**–106 [Co. 4]–[15]	**32**–137 [Co. 4]–[15]
$l=3$	3	5	**12** [Co. 4]	**16** [Th. 6]	**20**–56 [Co. 4]–[15]	**24**–79 [Co. 4]–[15]	**28**–106 [Co. 4]–[15]	**32**–137 [Co. 4]–[15]
$l=4$	3	5	7	**16** [Co. 4]	**20** [Th. 6]	**24**–79 [Co. 4]–[15]	**28**–106 [Co. 4]–[15]	**32**–137 [Co. 4]–[15]
$l=5$	3	5	7	9	**20** [Co. 4]	**24** [Th. 6]	**28** [Th. 6]	**32**–137 [Co. 4]–[15]
$l=6$	3	5	7	9	11	**24** [Co. 4]	**28** [Th. 6]	**32** [Th. 6]
$l=7$	3	5	7	9	11	13	**28** [Co. 4]	**32** [Th. 6]
$l=8$	3	5	7	9	11	13	15	**32** [Co. 4]

Table 1: Known values/bounds on the smallest order of a not C_{2l+1}-colourable graph of odd-girth $2k+1$. Bold values are proved in this paper.

2 Preliminaries

This section is devoted to introduce some preliminary notions and results that will be useful.

2.1 Circular chromatic number

Given two integers p and q with $gcd(p,q) = 1$, the *circular clique* $C(p,q)$ is the graph on vertex set $\{0,\ldots,p-1\}$ with i adjacent to j if and only if $p-q \leq i-j \leq q$. A homomorphism of a graph G to $C(p,q)$ is called a (p,q)-colouring, and the *circular chromatic number* of G, denoted $\chi_c(G)$, is the smallest rational p/q such that G has a (p,q)-colouring. Since $C(p,1)$ is the complete graph K_p, we have $\chi_c(G) \leq \chi(G)$. On the other hand $C(2l+1,2)$ is the cycle C_{2l+1}. Thus C_{2l+1}-colourability is about deciding whether $\chi_c(G) \leq 2 + \frac{1}{l}$. It is a well-known fact that $C(p,q) \to C(r,s)$ if and only if $\frac{p}{q} \leq \frac{r}{s}$, in particular we will use the fact that $C(12,5) \to C_5$.

2.2 Odd-K_4's and a theorem of Gerards

The following notion will be central in our proofs. An *odd-K_4* is a subdivision of the complete graph K_4 where each of the four triangles of K_4 has become an odd-cycle [6]. Furthermore, we

call it a $(2k+1)$-*odd-K_4* if each such cycle has length exactly $2k+1$. Since subdivided triangles are the only odd-cycles of an odd-K_4, the odd-girth of a $(2k+1)$-odd-K_4 is $2k+1$. The following is common knowledge about odd-K_4's, but for the sake of completeness we include a proof.

Proposition 2. *Let K be an odd-K_4 of odd-girth at least $2k+1$. Then, K has order at least $4k$, with equality if and only if K is a $(2k+1)$-odd-K_4. Furthermore, in the latter case any two disjoint edges of K_4 are subdivided the same number of times when constructing K.*

Proof. Since K has odd-girth at least $2k+1$, each of the four cycles of K has length at least $2k+1$. Hence, $2|E(K)| \geq 4(2k+1)$. On the other hand, four of the vertices of K (original vertices of K_4) are of degree 3 and all other vertices are of degree 2. Therefore $|V(K)| = |E(K)| - 2$ which implies that $|V(K)| \geq 4k$, and equality holds precisely when each face has length exactly $2k+1$, that is to say K is a $(2k+1)$-odd-K_4. Suppose equality holds and assume that edges of K_4 are subdivided into paths of lengths a, a', b, b', c and c', where the pairs i, i' with $i \in \{a, b, c\}$ correspond to disjoint edges of K_4. Then, we have $a+b'+c' = a+b+c = 2k+1$. Thus, $b'+c' = b+c$. Similarly, $a'+b' = a+b$, and $a'+c' = a+c$. This implies that $a = a'$, $b = b'$ and $c = c'$, as claimed. □

A $(2k+1)$-odd-K_4 is, more precisely, referred to as an (a, b, c)-*odd-K_4* if three edges of a triangle of K_4 are subdivided into paths of length a, b and c respectively (by Proposition 2 this is the case for all four triangles). Note that while terms "odd-K_4" or "$(2k+1)$-odd-K_4" refer to many non-isomorphic graphs, an (a, b, c)-odd-K_4 ($a+b+c = 2k+1$) is a unique graph up to a relabeling of vertices.

An *odd-K_3^2* is a graph obtained from three disjoint odd-cycles and three disjoint paths (possibly of length 0) joining each pair of cycles [6]. Thus, in such a graph, any two of the three cycles have at most one vertex in common (if the path joining them has length 0). Hence, an odd-K_3^2 of odd-girth at least $2k+1$ has order at least $6k$.

Theorem 3 (Gerards [6])**.** *If G has neither an odd-K_4 nor an odd-K_3^2 as a subgraph, then it admits a homomorphism to its shortest odd-cycle.*

We have the following corollary of Proposition 2 and Theorem 3.

Corollary 4. *Let G be a graph of odd-girth $2k+1$ which is not isomorphic to C_{2k+1}. Then, G has order at least $4k$, and equality holds if and only if G is a $(2k+1)$-odd-K_4. Thus, whenever $k \geq l$ we have $\eta(k, l) \geq 4k$ and $\eta(k, k) = 4k$.*

Proof. Let G be a graph of odd-girth $2k+1$ which does not admit a homomorphism to C_{2l+1}. Since we assumed $k \geq l$, we know G that does not admit a homomorphism to its shortest odd-cycle, as otherwise, by transitivity of homomorphisms, it would also map to C_{2l+1}. It then follows from Theorem 3 that G contains either an odd-K_4, or an odd-K_3^2. If it contains the latter, then G has at least $6k$ vertices and we are done. Otherwise, G must contain an odd-K_4 of odd-girth $2k+1$, and our claims follow from Proposition 2. □

3 Rows of Table 1

In this section, we study the behavior of $\eta(k, l)$ when l is a fixed value, that is, the behavior of each row of Table 1. Note again that the tail of the k-th column is the fixed value $2k+1$.

As mentioned before, the first row (i.e. $l = 1$) is about determining the smallest order of a 4-chromatic graph of odd-girth $2k+1$ and we know that $\eta(k, 1) = O(k^2)$.

It is not difficult to observe that for $k \geq l$, the function $\eta(k, l)$ is strictly increasing, in fact with a little bit of effort one can even show the following.

Proposition 5. *For $k \geq l$, we have $\eta(k+1,l) \geq \eta(k,l)+2$.*

Proof. Let G be a graph of odd-girth $2k+3$ and order $\eta(k+1,l)$ that does not map to C_{2l+1}. Consider any $(2k+3)$-cycle $v_0 \cdots v_{2k+2}$ of G, and build a smaller graph by identifying v_0 with v_2 and v_1 with v_3. It is not difficult to check that the resulting graph has odd-girth $2k+1$ and does not map to C_{2l+1} (otherwise, G would), proving the claim. □

While we expect that for a fixed l, $\eta(k,l)$ grows quadratically in terms of k, we show, somewhat surprisingly, that at least just after the threshold of $k=l$, the function $\eta(k,l)$ only increases by 4 when l increases by 1, implying that Proposition 5 cannot be improved much in this formulation. More precisely, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 6. *For any $k,l \geq 3$ and $l \leq k \leq \frac{3l+i-3}{2}$ (where $2k = i \bmod 3$), we have $\eta(k,l) = 4k$.*

To prove this theorem, we give a family of odd-$K4$'s which are of odd-girth $2k+1$, but do not map to C_{2l+1}. This is done in the next theorem, after which we give a proof of Theorem 6.

Recall that a *thread* is a path where the internal vertices have degree 2.

Theorem 7. *Let $p \geq 3$ be an integer. If p is odd, any (a,b,c)-odd-K_4 with $(a,b,c) \in \{(p-1,p-1,p),(p,p,p)\}$ has no homomorphism to C_{2p+1}. If p is even, any $(p-1,p,p)$-odd-K_4 has no homomorphism to C_{2p+1}.*

Proof. Let $(a,b,c) \in \{(p-1,p-1,p),(p,p,p),(p-1,p,p)\}$ and let K be an (a,b,c)-odd-K_4. Let t, u, v, w be the vertices of degree 3 in K with the tu-thread of length a, the uv-thread of length b and the tv-thread of length c. We now distinguish two cases depending on the parity of p and the value of the triple (a,b,c).

Case 1. Assume that p is odd and $(a,b,c) \in \{(p-1,p-1,p),(p,p,p)\}$. By contradiction, we assume that there is a homomorphism h of K to C_{2p+1}. Then, the cycle C_{tvw} formed by the union of the tv-thread, the vw-thread and the tw-thread is an odd-cycle of length $a+b+c$. Therefore, its mapping by h to C_{2p+1} must be *onto*. Thus, u has the same image by h as some vertex u' of C_{tvw}. Note that u' is not one of t, v or w, indeed by identifying u with any of these vertices we obtain a graph containing an odd-cycle of length p or $2p-1$; thus, this identification cannot be extended to a homomorphism to C_{2p+1}. Therefore, u' is an internal vertex of one of the three maximal threads in C_{tvw}. Let C_u be the odd-cycle of length $a+b+c$ containing u and u'. After identifying u and u', C_u is transformed into two cycles, one of them being odd. If $(a,b,c)=(p,p,p)$, then C_u has length $3p$. Then, the two newly created cycles have length at least $p+1$, and thus at most $2p-1$. If $(a,b,c)=(p-1,p-1,p)$, then C_u has length $3p-2$, and the two cycles have length at least p and at most $2p-2$. In both cases, we have created an odd-cycle of length at most $2p-1$. Hence, this identification cannot be extended to a homomorphism to C_{2p+1}, a contradiction.

Case 2. Assume that p is even and $(a,b,c)=(p-1,p,p)$, and that h is a homomorphism of K to C_{2p+1}. Again, the image of C_{tvw} by h is onto, and u has the same image by h as some vertex u' of C_{tvw}. If $u'=t$, identifying u and u' produces an odd $(p-1)$-cycle, a contradiction. If $u' \in \{v,w\}$, then we obtain a $(2p-1)$-cycle, a contradiction as well. Therefore, u' is an internal vertex of one of the three maximal threads in C_{tvw}. Let C_u be the odd-cycle of length $3p-1$ containing u and u'. As in Case 1, after identifying u and u', C_u is transformed into two cycles, each of length at least p and at most $2p-1$. One of them is odd, a contradiction again. □

We note that Theorem 7 is tight, in the sense that if p is odd and $(a,b,c) \in \{(p-1,p-1,p),(p,p,p)\}$ or if p is even and $(a,b,c) = (p-1,p,p)$, any (a,b,c)-odd-K_4 has a homomorphism to C_{2p-1}.

We can now prove Theorem 6.

Proof of Theorem 6. By Corollary 4, we know that $\eta(k,l) \geq 4k$. We now prove the upper bound. Recall that $\eta(k,l) \leq \eta(k,l-1)$. If $2k = 0 \bmod 3$, then $p = \frac{2k+3}{3}$ is an odd integer, and $p \leq l$. By Theorem 7, a $(p-1,p-1,p)$-odd-K_4, which has order $6p-6 = 4k$, has no homomorphism to C_{2p+1}, and thus $\eta(k,l) \leq \eta(k,p) \leq 4k$. Similarly, if $2k = 1 \bmod 3$, then $p = \frac{2k+2}{3}$ is an even integer, and $p \leq l$. By Theorem 7, a $(p-1,p,p)$-odd-K_4, which has order $6p-4 = 4k$, has no homomorphism to C_{2p+1}, and thus $\eta(k,l) \leq \eta(k,p) \leq 4k$. Finally, if $2k = 2 \bmod 3$, then $p = \frac{2k+1}{3}$ is an odd integer, and $p \leq l$. By Theorem 7, a (p,p,p)-odd-K_4, which has order $6p-2 = 4k$, has no homomorphism to C_{2p+1}, and thus $\eta(k,l) \leq \eta(k,p) \leq 4k$. □

We now deduce the following consequence of Theorem 6 and Proposition 5, that improves the known lower bounds on $\eta(4,2)$ and $\eta(4,1)$ (noting that for larger values of k, the bound of Corllary 4 is already stronger).

Corollary 8. *We have* $\eta(4,2) \geq \eta(4,1) \geq 17$.

4 The value of $\eta(3,2)$

We now determine $\eta(3,2)$, which is not covered by Theorem 7. By Corollary 4, we know that $\eta(3,2) \geq 12$. In fact, we will show that $\eta(3,2) = 15$. Using a computer search, Gordon Royle has found that there are precisely ten graphs of order 15 and odd-girth 7 that do not admit a homomorphism to C_5. For example, see the three graphs of Figure 2. Thus, $\eta(3,2) \leq 15$. Next, we prove that this upper bound is tight.



Figure 2: Three graphs of order 15 and odd-girth 7 with no homomorphism to C_5.

Theorem 9. *Any graph G of order at most* 14 *and odd-girth at least* 7 *admits a homomorphism to C_5, and thus $\eta(3,2) = 15$.*

Proof. We consider a C_5 on the vertex set $\{0,1,2,3,4\}$ where vertex i is adjacent to vertices $i+1$ and $i-1$ (modulo 5). Thus, in the following, to give a C_5-colouring we will give a colouring using element of $\{0,1,2,3,4\}$ where adjacent pairs are mapped into (cyclically) consecutive elements of this set.

Given a graph G and a vertex v of it, we partition $V(G)$ into four sets $\{v\}, N_1(v), N_2(v)$ and $N_{3^+}(v)$ where $N_1(v)$ (respectively $N_2(v)$) designates the set of vertices at distance exactly 1 (respectively 2) of v, and $N_{3^+}(v)$ the vertices at distance 3 or more of v. A proper 3-colouring of $G[N_{3^+}(v)]$ using colours c_1, c_2 and c_3 is said to be *v-special* if:

(i) each vertex with colour c_3 is an isolated vertex of $G[N_{3^+}(v)]$,

(ii) no vertex from $N_2(v)$ sees both colours c_1 and c_2.

A key observation is the following: given any graph G, if for some vertex v of G, there exists a v-special colouring of $G[N_{3^+}(v)]$, then G maps to C_5. Such a homomorphism is given by mapping c_1-vertices to 0, c_2-vertices to 1 and c_3-vertices to 3, and then extending as follows:

- for any vertex u in $N_2(v)$, if u has a c_1-neighbour, map it to 4; otherwise, map it to 2,
- all vertices of $N_1(v)$ are mapped to 3,
- vertex v is mapped to 2 or 4.

Now, let G be a minimal counterexample to the claim of Theorem 9. We first collect a few properties of G. The previous paragraph allows us to state our first claim.

Claim 9.A. *For no vertex v of G there is a v-special colouring of $G[N_{3^+}(v)]$.*

Since G is a minimal counterexample, it cannot map to a subgraph of itself (which would be a smaller counterexample). Thus, we may assert our second claim.

Claim 9.B. *Graph G is a core.*

In particular, we also have the following claim.

Claim 9.C. *For any two vertices u and v of G, $N(u) \not\subseteq N(v)$.*

Recall that a *walk* between two vertices u and v is a sequence of (not necessarily distinct) vertices starting with u and ending with v, where two consecutve vertices in the sequence are adjacent. A walk betseen u and v is an *uv-walk*, and a *k-walk* is a walk with $k+1$ vertices.

Claim 9.D. *For any two distinct vertices u and v of G, there is a uv-walk of length 5.*

Proof of claim. Otherwise, identifying u and v would result in a strictly smaller graph of yet odd-girth 7 which does not map to C_5, contradicting the minimality of G. (□)

Claim 9.E. *Graph G has no thread of length 4 or more.*

Proof of claim. Once again, by minimality of G, if we remove such a thread of length 4, the resulting graph can be mapped to C_5. But since there is a walk of length 4 between any two vertices of C_5, this mapping could easily be extended to G.(□)

Now we can state a more difficult claim.

Claim 9.F. *There is no vertex of G of degree 4 or more, nor a vertex of degree exactly 3 with a second neighbourhood of size 5 or more.*

Proof of claim. For a contradiction, suppose that a vertex v has degree 4 or more, or has degree 3 and a second neighbourhood of size 5 or more.

By Claim 9.C, the neighbours of v should have pairwise distinct neighbourhoods, so that even if v has degree 4 or more, we must have $|N_2(v)| \geq 4$. Thus, by a counting argument (recall that G has at most 14 vertices), we may state that $N_{3^+}(v)$ has size at most 5. Since G has odd-girth 7, it means that $G[N_{3^+}(v)]$ is bipartite.

Suppose $G[N_{3^+}(v)]$ has only one non-trivial connected component. Consider any proper 3-colouring of $G[N_{3^+}(v)]$ such that colours c_1 and c_2 are used for the non-trivial connected component, and colour c_3 is used for isolated vertices. Then, no vertex of $N_2(v)$ can see both colours c_1 and

c_2, as this would result in a short odd-cycle in G. Thus, any such colouring is v-special. Such a colouring clearly exists, hence by Claim 9.A, we derive that $G[N_{3^+}(v)]$ has at least two non-trivial components. Since it has order at most 5, it must have exactly two non-trivial components.

Assume now that both non-trivial connected components are isomorphic to K_2. Consider all proper 3-colourings of $G[N_{3^+}(v)]$ such that colours c_1 and c_2 are used for the copies of K_2 (the potentially remaining vertex being coloured with c_3). One may check that since by Claim 9.A, none of them is v-special, and hence there is a short odd-cycle in G, which is a contradiction.

Hence, $G[N_{3^+}(v)]$ is isomorphic to the disjoint union of K_2 and $K_{2,1}$. Let u_1 and u_2 be the vertices of K_2, and u_3, u_4 and u_5 be the vertices of $K_{2,1}$ such that u_4 is the central vertex.

Let φ_1 and φ_2 be two proper 2-colourings of $G[N_{3^+}(v)]$ as follows: $\varphi_1(u_1) = \varphi_1(u_3) = \varphi_1(u_5) = c_1$ and $\varphi_1(u_2) = \varphi_1(u_4) = c_2$, $\varphi_2(u_2) = \varphi_2(u_3) = \varphi_2(u_5) = c_1$ and $\varphi_2(u_1) = \varphi_2(u_4) = c_2$. Since φ_1 is not v-special, there is either a vertex t_1 adjacent to u_2 and u_3 (by considering the symmetry of u_3 and u_5), or a vertex t'_1 adjacent to u_1 and u_4. Similarly, since φ_2 is not v-special, either there is a vertex t_2 adjacent to u_1 and one to u_3 and u_5, or there is a vertex t'_2 adjacent to u_2 and u_4. The existence of some t'_i (for $i = 1$ or 2), together with any of these three remaining vertices would result in a short odd-cycle in G. Thus, the only option is for t_1 and t_2 to exist and more precisely, t_2 has to be a neighbour of u_5.

Next, we show that u_4 has degree at least 3. Suppose not, then it has degree exactly 2. Let φ_3 be a partial C_5-colouring of G defined as follows: $\varphi_3(u_1) = \varphi_3(u_5) = 0, \varphi_3(u_2) = 1, \varphi_3(u_3) = 3$ and $\varphi_3(u_4) = 4$. Then, no vertex in $N_2(v)$ sees both 0 and 1 (by odd-girth arguments). Thus, we can extend φ_3 to $N_2(v)$ using only colours 2 and 4 on these vertices. Then, all vertices of $N_1(v)$ can be mapped to 3 and v can be mapped to 2, which contradicts the fact that G is a counterexample.

Hence, there exists a vertex t_3 in $N_2(v)$ which is adjacent to u_4. Note that, by the odd-girth condition, t_3 has no other neighbour in $G[N_{3^+}(v)]$ and, in particular, it must be distinct from t_1 and t_2. Vertices t_1, t_2 and t_3 are in $N_2(v)$, so there are vertices s_1, s_2 and s_3 in $N_1(v)$ such that s_i is adjacent to t_i for i between 1 and 3. Moreover, vertices t_1, t_2 and t_3 are pairwise connected by a path of length 3. Therefore, their neighbourhoods cannot intersect, so that vertices s_1, s_2 and s_3 are distinct.

Now, consider the partial C_5-colouring φ_3 again. We may extend φ_3 to $N_2(v)$ by assigning colour 0 to neighbours of u_4, colour 4 to neighbours of u_1 and u_5, and colour 2 to the rest. If no vertex of $N_1(v)$ sees both colours 0 and 4 in $N_2(v)$, we may colour $N_1(v)$ with 1 and 3 and colour v with 2, which is a contradiction. Thus, there exists some vertex x in $N_1(v)$ seeing both colours 0 and 4 in $N_2(v)$. The only vertices with colour 0 in $N_2(v)$ are neighbours of u_4 so that x must be at distance 2 from u_4. Since there is no short odd-cycle in G, vertex x cannot be at distance 2 from u_5. Thus, it is at distance 2 from u_1. Let t_4 be the middle vertex of this path from x to u_1. Now t_4 is a neighbour of u_1 which is distinct from t_1, t_2 and t_3. By the symmetry between u_1 and u_2, there must be a fifth vertex t_5 in $N_2(v)$ which is a neighbour of u_2 and distinct from t_1, t_2, t_3 and t_4. Moreover, t_5 has a neighbour y in $N_1(v)$ that is at distance 2 from u_4. We can readily check that y is distinct from x, s_1 and s_2. Thus, $N_1(v)$ has size at least 4 and $N_2(v)$ has size at least 5, which is a contradiction with the order of G (which should be at most 14). This concludes the proof of Claim 9.F. (□)

Claim 9.G. *G contains no 6-cycle.*

Proof of claim. Suppose, by contradiction, that G contains a 6-cycle $C : v_0, \ldots, v_5$. For a pair v_i and v_{i+2} (addition in indices are done modulo 6) of vertices of C, the 5-walk connecting them (see Claim 9.D) is necessarily a 5-path, which we denote by P^i. Furthermore, at most one inner-vertex of P^i may belong to C, and if it does, it must be a neighbour of v_i or v_{i+2} (one can check that otherwise, there is a short odd-cycle in G).

Assume first that none of the six paths P^i $(0 \leq i \leq 5)$ has any inner-vertex on C. In this case and by Claim 9.F, we observe that the neighbours of v_i in P^i and P^{i+4} (additions in superscript are modulo 6) are the same. Let v'_i be this neighbour of v_i.

Vertices v'_i , $i = 0, 1, \ldots, 5$ are all distinct, as otherwise we have a short odd-cycle in G. Let x and y by two internal vertices of P^1 distinct from v'_0 and v'_2. By our assumption, x and y are distinct from vertices of C. We claim that they are also distinct from v'_i, $i = 0, \ldots, 5$. Vertex x is indeed distinct from v'_0 and v'_2 by the choice of P^0. It is distinct from v'_1, v'_3 and v'_4 as otherwise there will be a short odd-cycle. Similarly, y is distinct from $v'_0, v'_1, v'_2, v'_4, v'_5$. For the same reason, we cannot simultaneously have $x = v'_5$ and $y = v'_3$. Finally, if we have $x = v'_5$ then $\{v'_0, v_1, y, v_3, v'_4\} \subseteq N_2(x)$ and $d(x) \geq 3$, contradicting Claim 9.F. As a result, since $|V(G)| \leq 14$, x and y are internal vertices of all P^i's. But then it easy to find a short odd-cycle.

Hence, we may assume, without loss of generality, that P^1 has one inner-vertex on C, say $v'_1 = v_0$. Let $v_0x_1x_2x_3v_3$ be the 4-path connecting v_0 and v_3 (recall that $x_i \notin C$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$). We next assume that P^5 does not have any inner-vertex in C. Then, no vertex of P^5 is a vertex from $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, for otherwise we have a short odd-cycle in G. But then, v_0 violates Claim 9.F. Therefore, an inner-vertex of P^5 lies on C, say it is v_2 and we have $P^5 : v_5y_1y_2y_3v_2v_1$. Then, P^1 and P^5 are vertex-disjoint, for otherwise we have a short odd-cycle in G. Remark that C together with the union of the paths P^i, $i = 0, \ldots, 5$, forms a $(2k+1)$-odd K_4, in fact it is a $(1, 2, 4)$-odd-K_4 (a subgraph of $C(12, 5)$). Now, because of the odd-girth of G, and by Claim 9.F, the only third neighbour of v_1, if any, is v_4 (and vice-versa). Furthermore, the set $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ of vertices induces a subgraph matching the x_iy_i with $i = 1, 2, 3$. If there is no additional vertex in G, then G has order 12 and it is a subgraph of $C(12, 5)$. But then, the circular chromatic number of G is at most $12/5$, which implies that G has a homomorphism to C_5, a contradiction. Thus, G has order at least 13. Again by Claim 9.F, any of the two last potentially existing vertices of G can be adjacent only to x_2 or y_2. Without loss of generality (considering the symmetries of the graph), assume that x_2 has an additional neighbour, v. Then, either v is also adjacent to y_2 (then G has order 13), or v and y_2 have a common neighbour, say w. If v is adjacent to both x_2 and y_2, then one can check that there is no edge in the set $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ (otherwise we have a short odd-cycle). But then, we exhibit a homomorphism of G to C_5: map x_3, y_1, v to 0; x_2, y_2 to 1; v_1, x_1, y_3 to 2; v_0, v_2, v_4 to 3; v_3 and v_5 to 4. This is a contradiction. Thus, v and y_2 have a common neighbour w, and both v and w are of degree 2. We now create a homomorphic image of G by identifying v with y_2 and w with x_2. Then, this homomorphic image of G is a subgraph of $C(12, 5)$, and thus the circular chromatic number of G is at most $12/5$, which implies that G has a homomorphism to C_5, a contradiction. This completes the proof of Claim 9.G. (□)

Claim 9.H. *G contains no 4-cycle.*

Proof of claim. Assume by contradiction that G contains a 4-cycle $C : tuvw$. As in the proof of Claim 9.G, there must be two 5-paths $P_{tv} : ta_1a_2a_3a_4v$ and $P_{uw} : ub_1b_2b_3b_4w$ connecting t with v and u with w, respectively. Moreover, these two paths must be vertex-disjoint because of the odd-girth of G. Thus, the union of C, P_{tv} and P_{uw} forms a $(1, 1, 5)$-odd-K_4, K. By assumption on the odd-girth of G, any additional edge inside $V(K)$ must connect an internal vertex of Ptv to an internal vertex of P_{uw}. But any such edge would either create a short odd-cycle or a 6-cycle in G, the latter contradicting Claim 9.G. Thus, the only edges in $V(K)$ are those of K. If there is no additional vertex in G, we have two 5-threads in G, contradicting Claim 9.E; hence there is at least one additional vertex in G, say x, and perhaps a last vertex, y. Note that t, u, v and w are already, in K, degree 3-vertices with a second neighbourhood of size 4, thus by Claim 9.F none of t, u, v, w, a_1, a_4, b_1 and b_4 are adjacent to any vertex not in K. Thus $N(x), N(y) \subseteq \{a_2, a_3, b_2, b_3, x, y\}$.

Assume that some vertex not in K (say x) is adjacent to two vertices of K. Then, these two vertices must be a vertex on the tu-path of K (a_2 or a_3, without loss of generality it is a_2) and a



(i) $(a, b, c) = (2, 2, 3)$ (ii) $(a, b, c) = (1, 3, 3)$

Figure 3: The two graphs considered in Case 1.

vertex on the vw-path of K (b_2 or b_3). By the automorphism of K that consists of swapping v and w and reversing the vw-path, without loss of generality we can assume that the second neighbour of x in K is b_3. Then, we claim that G has no further vertex. Indeed, if there is a last vertex y, since a_2 and b_3 have already got three neighbours, y must be adjacent to at least two vertices among $\{a_3, b_2, x\}$. If it is adjacent to both a_3 and b_2, we have a 6-cycle, contradicting Claim 9.G; otherwise, y is of degree 2 but part of a 4-cycle, implying that G is not a core, a contradiction again. Thus, G has order 13 and no further edge. We create a homomorphic image of G by identifying x and a_3. The obtained graph is a subgraph of $C(12, 5)$. Hence, G has circular chromatic number at most $12/5$ and has a homomorphism to C_5, a contradiction.

Thus, any vertex not in K has at most one neighbour in K. Since G has no 4-thread, one vertex not in K (say x) is adjacent to one of a_2 or a_3 (without loss of generality, say a_2), and the last vertex, y, is adjacent to one of b_2 and b_3 (as before, by the symmetries of K we can assume it is b_2). Moreover, x and y must be adjacent, otherwise they both have degree 1. Also there is no further edge in G. But then, as before, we create a homomorphic image of G by identifying x with b_2 and y with a_2. The resulting graph is a subgraph of $C(12, 5)$, which again gives a contradiction. This completes the proof of Claim 9.H. (□)

To complete the proof, we note that since G has no homomorphism to C_5, it also has no homomorphism to C_7. Thus, by Theorem 3, G must contain either an odd-K_3^2 or an odd-K_4 of odd-girth at least 7. Since such an odd-K_3^2 must have at least 18 vertices, G contains an odd-K_4. Let H be such an odd-K_4 of G. Since its girth is at least 7, by Proposition 2 it has at least 12 vertices. We consider three cases, depending on the order of H.

Case 1. Assume that $|V(H)| = 12$. By Proposition 2, H is a $(2k + 1)$-odd-K_4, in fact, it is an (a, b, c)-odd-K_4 with $a + b + c = 7$. Since H has girth at least 7, we have only two possibilities: (i) $(a, b, c) = (2, 2, 3)$ or (ii) $(a, b, c) = (1, 3, 3)$. Each of these two possible odd-K_4's is of diameter 4. Furthermore, in each case, the pairs at distance 4 are isomorphic (each pair consists of two internal vertices of two disjoint threads of length 3). If G contains one more vertex that H, say x, then either x is of degree at most 1, which contradicts Claim 9.B or it creates a cycle of length at most 6. Thus G has exactly two more vertices, say x and y and each of them is adjacent to at most one vertex in H. These two neighbours of x and y are at distance 4 in H and x is adjacent to y. There are only two non-isomorphic such possibilities. A homomorphism to C_5 in each of these two possibilities is given in Figure 3.

Case 2. Assume that $|V(H)| = 13$. Let the lengths of the four odd-cycles of H be l_1, l_2, l_3 and l_4. Using the fact that $l_1 + l_2 + l_3 + l_4 = 2|E(H)| = 30$ we conclude that three of these cycles are of



(i) $(a', b', c') = (2, 2, 2)$ (ii) $(a', b', c') = (1, 2, 3)$

Figure 4: The two graphs considered in Case 2.

length 7 and the last one is of length 9. Let a, b and c be the lengths of the three maximal threads of H whose union forms the 9-cycle. Let a', b' and c' be the respective lengths of the corresponding pairwise disjoint threads. Then, we have $a' + b' + c = 7$ and $a + b + c = 9$, which implies that $a' + b' = a + b - 2$. Together with the similar equalities $a' + c' = a + c - 2$ and $b' + c' = b + c - 2$, we obtain that $a = a' + 1$, $b = b' + 1$ and $c = c' + 1$. By the symmetries, we may assume that $a \leq b \leq c$. Considering that there are cycles of lengths $2a' + 2b' + 2$, $2a' + 2c' + 2$ and $2b' + 2c' + 2$ in H, we have either (i) $a' = b' = c' = 2$ or (ii) $a' = 1$, $b' = 2$ and $c' = 3$. In the first case, the graph is of diameter 4 and thus there is no room for a 14th vertex; in the second case, there is a unique pair at distance 5, which leads us to a unique graph on 14 vertices, by connecting a 14th vertex to these two diametral vertices. Homomorphisms to C_5 for each of these graphs are given in Figure 4.

Case 3. Assume that $|V(H)| = 14$. Again, let l_1, l_2, l_3 and l_4 be the lengths of the four odd-cycles of H. Then, we have $l_1 + l_2 + l_3 + l_4 = 2|E(H)| = 32$, and either $l_1 = l_2 = l_3 = 7$ and $l_4 = 11$, or $l_1 = l_2 = 7$ and $l_3 = l_4 = 9$. We define a, b, c, a', b' and c' similarly as in Case 2. It is then implied that $a = a' + 2$, $b = b' + 2$ and $c = c' + 2$. Since $a', b', c' \geq 1$ we have $a, b, c, \geq 3$ and since $a + b + c = 11$ we have two possibilities: either $a = b = 3$, $c = 5$ or $a = 3$, $b = c = 4$. In the case $(a, b, c) = (3, 3, 5)$, the vertex of degree 3 of H which is not on the face of size 11 has already five distinct vertices at distance 2, contradicting Claim 9.F. In the case $(a, b, c) = (3, 4, 4)$, H is already of diameter 5, thus H is the same as G. For this case a C_5-colouring is given in Figure 5.

For the case $l_1 = l_2 = 7$ and $l_3 = l_4 = 9$, assuming c' is the length of the common thread of the two cycles of length 9, a similar calculation as in Case 2 implies that $a = a'$, $b = b'$ and $c' = c + 2$. The possibilities are (i) $a = 1$, $b = 3$ and $c = 3$, (ii) $a = 1$, $b = 4$ and $c = 2$, (iii) $a = 2$, $b = 2$ and $c = 3$, (iv) $a = 2$, $b = 3$ and $c = 2$, and (v) $a = b = 3$ and $c = 1$. As in each case, each pair of vertices is on a common cycle of length at most 10, and since the girth is at least 7, G must be isomorphic to H. Then, a mapping to C_5 can readily be found (see Figure 6). This case completes the proof as there is no counterexample to the statement of the theorem. □

5 Concluding remarks

In this work, we have started the study of the question of finding the smallest order of a graph of odd-girth $2k + 1$ which does not map to C_{2l+1}. We have determined a number of previously unknown values, in particular we showed that a smallest graph of odd-girth 7 not mapping to C_5 is of order 15. In contrast to the result of Chvátal on the uniqueness of the smallest triangle-free 4-chromatic graph [4], we have found more than one graph of odd-girth 7 on 15 vertices which does not map to C_5. In fact, Gordon Royle showed computationally, that there are exactly 10 such



Figure 5: The first graph considered in Case 3, with $(a, b, c) = (3, 4, 4)$.



(i) $(a, b, c) = (1, 3, 3)$ (ii) $(a, b, c) = (1, 4, 2)$ (iii) $(a, b, c) = (2, 2, 3)$

(iv) $(a, b, c) = (2, 3, 2)$ (v) $(a, b, c) = (3, 3, 1)$

Figure 6: Five graphs considered in Case 3.

graphs.

A similar problem of interest is to ask for the order of a smallest graph of girth g which does not map to C_{2l+1}. This question has not been studied much. In this formulation, the Grötzsch graph is the smallest graph of girth 4 which is not 3-colourable. When girth 5 is considered, then again a computational work of Gordon Royle showed that 21 vertices are needed and again the answer is not unique [16].

Our last remark is about Theorem 6. We think that for any given k, Theorem 6 covers all values of k for which $\eta(k, l) = 4k$.

References

[1] L. Beaudou, F. Foucaud and R. Naserasr. Homomorphism bounds and edge-colourings of K_4-minor-free graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, accepted.

[2] L. Beaudou, F. Foucaud, R. Naserasr and G. F. Royle. Smallest 4-chromatic graphs of given odd-girth. Manuscript, 2016.

[3] O. V. Borodin, S. J. Kim, A. V. Kostochka and D. B. West. Homomorphisms from sparse graphs with large girth. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* 90(1):147–159, 2004.

[4] V. Chvátal. The minimality of the Mycielski graph. Graphs and combinatorics (Proc. Capital Conf., George Washington Univ., Washington, D.C., 1973), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 406, Springer-Verlag, pp. 243–246, 1974.

[5] L. Esperet and M. Stehlík. The width of quadrangulations of the projective plane. Manuscript, 2015. http://arxiv.org/abs/1509.07716

[6] A. M. H. Gerards. Homomorphisms of graphs into odd cycles. *Journal of Graph Theory* 12(1):73–83, 1988.

[7] A. Gyárfás, T. Jensen and M. Stiebitz. On graphs with strongly independent colour classes. *Journal of Graph Theory* 46(1):1–14, 2004.

[8] F. Harary. *Graph Theory*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969, p. 149, Exercise 12.19.

[9] P. Hell and J. Nešetřil. *Graphs and Homomorphisms*. Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and Its Applications, Oxford University Press, 2004.

[10] T. Jiang. Small odd cycles in 4-chromatic graphs. *Journal of Graph Theory* 37(2):115–117, 2001.

[11] J. Nešetřil. In *Erdős Centennial*, pp. 383–407, Springer, 2013.

[12] N. V. Ngoc. *On graph colorings* (in Hungarian), Ph.D. Thesis, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, 1987.

[13] N. V. Ngoc and Z. Tuza. 4-chromatic graphs with large odd girth. *Discrete Mathematics* 138(1–3):387–392, 1995.

[14] A. Nilli. Short odd cycles in 4-chromatic graphs. *Journal of Graph Theory* 31(2):145–147, 1999.

[15] C. Payan. On the chromatic number of cube-like graphs. *Discrete Mathematics* 103(3):271–277, 1992.

[16] G. F. Royle. Answer to the question "What is the smallest 4-chromatic graph of girth 5?", http://mathoverflow.net/q/193973, 2015.

[17] M. Stiebitz. *Beiträge zur Theorie der färbungskritischen Graphen* (in German), Ph.D. Thesis, Technische Hochschule Ilmenau, 1985.

[18] C. Tardif. The fractional chromatic numbers of cones over graphs. *Journal of Graph Theory* 38(2):87–94, 2001.

[19] D. A. Youngs. 4-chromatic projective graphs. *Journal of Graph Theory* 21(2):219–227, 1996.

[20] C. Q. Zhang. Circular flows of nearly eulerian graphs and vertex splitting. *Journal of Graph Theory* 40(3):147–161, 2002.

[21] X. Zhu. Circular chromatic number of planar graphs of large odd girth. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* 8(1):R25, 2001.